

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/655,229	09/05/2000	Chung Nan Chang	2174 7777	
75	90 03/28/2005		EXAM	INER
Donald E Schr	eiber		CHEN, SH	IIN HON
Donald E Schre	iber A Professional Corp.			
Post Office Box	2926		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Kings Beach, CA 96143-2926		2131		

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	dvisoi	y Act	tion		
Before	the	Filing	of an	Ap	peal	Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	plicant(s)		
09/655,229	CHANG, CHUNG NAN			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Shin-Hon Chen	2131			

	Shin-Hon Chen	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aban ment, affidavit, or other evidence, v al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of t	donment of this applic which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque	cation in st for Continued
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Approximate Was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	is of the date of filing	the Notice of
	huit malay to the date of filling a build	will not be outsided by	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i L below),	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: Regarding to applicant's arguments, applicant's arguments, applicant's ource either by a sender or by a receiver is not described by the sender and the public keys are transmitted by the sender and be transmitted between sender and receiver). On quantities in a publicly accessible repository. How column 13 lines 9-18: the publicly known informatical parameter "a". Therefore, the publicly known informatical parameter "a".	isclosed in the Crandall reference is public keys of the sender and receiver (Crandall: column 20 lines the other hand, applicant argues the ever, Crandall reference discloses on such as the public keys, the initimation is not only restricted to the public keys.	n its text or implicitly. iver, which inherently 15-24: the source of eat storage of plurality the plurality of publicial point, the field Fpk public keys. (See 37 Coublic keys.)	However, discloses that information may of public quantities in , and the curve CFR 1.116 and
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-29. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attack	ned

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
13. Other:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050323

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100